

HOMOTOPY THEORY OF WELL-GENERATED ALGEBRAIC TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES

GONÇALO TABUADA

ABSTRACT. For every regular cardinal α , we construct a cofibrantly generated Quillen model structure on a category whose objects are essentially DG categories which are stable under suspensions, cosuspensions, cones and α -small sums.

Using results of Porta, we show that the category of well-generated (algebraic) triangulated categories in the sense of Neeman is naturally enhanced by our Quillen model category.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Acknowledgments	2
3. Preliminaries	2
4. Monadic structure $\mathbf{T}$ on $\mathbf{dgc}at$	2
5. Quillen's lifting argument	6
6. Homotopy theory of $\mathbf{T}$ -algebras	8
7. Exact α -cocomplete DG categories	9
8. Enhancement of well-generated algebraic triangulated categories	16
References	16

1. INTRODUCTION

Triangulated categories appear naturally in several branches of mathematics such as algebraic geometry, algebraic analysis, K -theory, representation theory and even in mathematical physics, see for instance [11].

In his book [14], Neeman introduces an important class of triangulated categories known as *well-generated*. Recall that a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$ is well-generated if it is α -compactly generated for a regular cardinal α , see [12] [14]. Neeman proves that the Brown representability theorem holds for well-generated triangulated categories and that this class of triangulated categories is stable under localizations and passage to localizing subcategories generated by a set of objects.

In this paper we study the category of well-generated algebraic triangulated categories, see [7], using the tools of Quillen's homotopical algebra, [16], and the formalism of DG categories, [4] [7] [8] [19]. More precisely, for a fixed regular cardinal α we construct a category $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$, whose objects are essentially the dg

Key words and phrases. Monads and algebras, Quillen model structure, well-generated algebraic triangulated category, Verdier's quotient, exact DG category, α -cocompleteness.

Supported by FCT-Portugal, scholarship SFRH/BD/14035/2003.

categories which are stable under suspensions, cosuspensions, cones and α -small sums.

The construction of $\mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha}$ is done in two steps.

First we construct a monad T_α on the category of small differential graded categories $\mathbf{dgcat}$ and we consider the associated category $T_\alpha\text{-alg}$ of T_α -algebras.

Then the category $\mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha}$, is obtained by considering specific diagrams in $T_\alpha\text{-alg}$.

In each of these two steps we dispose of an adjunction and by applying an argument due to Quillen to our situation, we are able to lift the Quillen model structure on $\mathbf{dgcat}$, see [17], along these adjunctions to $\mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha}$.

Finally, we define a functor $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(-)$ from $\mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha}$ to the category $\mathbf{Tri}_\alpha$ of α -compactly generated triangulated categories, see [12] [14], which by [9] [15] is known to verify the following conditions:

- every category $\mathcal{T}$ in $\mathbf{Tri}_\alpha$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\underline{A})$ for some $\underline{A}$ in $\mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha}$ and
- a morphism F in $\mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha}$ is a weak equivalence if and only if $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(F)$ is an equivalence of triangulated categories.

This shows that well-generated algebraic triangulated categories up to equivalence admit a natural Quillen enhancement given by our model category $\mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha}$.

2. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This article is part of my Ph.D. thesis under the supervision of Prof. B. Keller. I deeply thank him for suggesting this problem to me and for several useful discussions. I am also very grateful to M. Batanin and M. Weber for e-mail exchange concerning monadic structures and to C. Berger and B. Toën for useful discussions concerning homotopical algebra.

3. PRELIMINARIES

In what follows α will denote a regular cardinal and k a commutative ring with unit. The tensor product $\otimes$ will denote the tensor product over k . Let $\mathbf{Ch}(k)$ denote the category of complexes over k . By a *dg category*, we mean a differential graded k category, see [4] [8] [17]. For a dg category $\mathcal{A}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{A})$ the dg category of right $\mathcal{A}$ dg modules and by $\hat{\cdot}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{A})$ the Yoneda dg functor. We write $\mathbf{dgcat}$ for the category of small dg categories. It is proven in [17], that the category $\mathbf{dgcat}$ admits a structure of cofibrantly generated model category whose weak equivalences are the quasi-equivalences.

4. MONADIC STRUCTURE T ON $\mathbf{dgcat}$

In this section, we will construct a monad T_α on $\mathbf{dgcat}$. The T_α -algebras will be essentially the dg categories which admit α -small sums, see proposition 4.2. This monad T_α is a variant of the well-known coproduct completion monad $\mathbf{Fam}$ of ‘families’, cf. [1], see also [10].

For each ordinal β strictly smaller than α , we denote by I_β the underlying set of β , see [3] [5]. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a small dg category.

Definition 4.1. *Let $T_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ be the small dg category whose objects are the maps*

$$I_\beta \xrightarrow{F_\beta} \mathbf{obj}(\mathcal{A}),$$

where $\beta < \alpha$, and whose complex of morphisms between F_β and $F_{\beta'}$ is

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})}(F_\beta, F_{\beta'}) := \prod_{i \in I_\beta} \bigoplus_{j \in I_{\beta'}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(F_\beta(i), F_{\beta'}(j)).$$

The composition and the identities are induced by those of $\mathcal{A}$.

Remark 4.1. Clearly $\mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ is a small dg category and the above construction is functorial in $\mathcal{A}$. We dispose of a functor

$$\mathsf{T}_\alpha(-) : \mathrm{dgcat} \longrightarrow \mathrm{dgcat}.$$

Definition 4.2. Let η be the natural transformation

$$\eta : \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{dgcat}} \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}_\alpha(-),$$

whose evaluation at $\mathcal{A}$ is the dg functor

$$\eta_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$$

that sends an object X of $\mathcal{A}$ to the map

$$I_1 \xrightarrow{X} \mathrm{obj}(\mathcal{A}),$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the first successor ordinal, see [3].

Remark that $\eta_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a fully faithful dg functor.

Definition 4.3. Let $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the dg functor

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}} : (\mathsf{T}_\alpha \circ \mathsf{T}_\alpha)(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A}),$$

which sends an object

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_\beta & \xrightarrow{F_\beta} & \mathrm{obj}(\mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})) \\ x & \longmapsto & (I_{\gamma_x} \xrightarrow{F_{\gamma_x}} \mathrm{obj}(\mathcal{A})), \end{array}$$

of $(\mathsf{T}_\alpha \circ \mathsf{T}_\alpha)(\mathcal{A})$ to the map

$$\coprod_{x \in \beta} F_{\gamma_x} := I_{\sum_{x \in \beta} \gamma_x} \longrightarrow \mathrm{obj}(\mathcal{A}),$$

where $\sum_{x \in \beta} \gamma_x$ denotes the increasing sum of the ordinals γ_x , $x \in \beta$, along the ordinal β , see [3].

Remark 4.2. Remark that the ordinal $\sum_{x \in \beta} \gamma_x$ is strictly smaller than α since β and each one of the γ_x 's are strictly smaller than α , which is by hypothesis a regular cardinal. The above construction is functorial in $\mathcal{A}$ and so we dispose of a natural transformation

$$\mu : (\mathsf{T}_\alpha \circ \mathsf{T}_\alpha)(-) \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}_\alpha(-).$$

Proposition 4.1. We dispose of a monad, see [13], $\mathsf{T}_\alpha = (\mathsf{T}_\alpha(-), \eta, \mu)$ on the category dgcat .

Proof. We need to prove that the diagrams of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{T}_\alpha \circ \mathsf{T}_\alpha \circ \mathsf{T}_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{T}_\alpha \mu} & \mathsf{T}_\alpha \circ \mathsf{T}_\alpha \\ \mu \mathsf{T}_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ \mathsf{T}_\alpha \circ \mathsf{T}_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathsf{T}_\alpha \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{T}_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\eta \mathsf{T}_\alpha} & \mathsf{T}_\alpha \circ \mathsf{T}_\alpha \xleftarrow{\mathsf{T}_\alpha \eta} \mathsf{T}_\alpha \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \mu \nearrow \\ & & \mathsf{T}_\alpha \end{array}$$

are commutative. The left diagram is commutative because the ordinal sum operation, see [3], on the set of ordinals strictly smaller than α , is associative. The left triangle of the right diagram is also commutative because the ordinal sum operation admits a unity which is given by the first ordinal. The right triangle of the right diagram is also commutative by definition of μ .

This proves the proposition. $\checkmark$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a small dg category.

Lemma 4.1. *The dg category $\mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ admits α -small sums.*

Proof. Let β be a cardinal strictly smaller than α . Let J be a set of cardinality β and G a morphism

$$G : J \longrightarrow \text{obj}(\mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})).$$

Choose a bijection between J and I_β and consider the object

$$F_\beta : I_\beta \longrightarrow \text{obj}(\mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A}))$$

of $(\mathsf{T}_\alpha \circ \mathsf{T}_\alpha)$ associated to G . Now remark that by definition of $\mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ and since

$$I_{\sum_{x \in \beta} \gamma_x} = \bigcup_{x \in I_\beta} I_{\gamma_x}$$

the object $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(F_\beta)$ of $\mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ is in fact the β -small sum of G . $\checkmark$

Recall from [13] that by definition an algebra over this monad ($=\mathsf{T}_\alpha$ -algebra) consists of a pair $A = (\mathcal{A}, R)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a small dg category and R is a dg functor $R : \mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ which makes both diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathsf{T}_\alpha \circ \mathsf{T}_\alpha)(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{T}_\alpha(R)} & \mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A}) \\ \mu_{\mathcal{A}} \downarrow & & \downarrow R \\ \mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{R} & \mathcal{A} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A}) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow R \\ & & \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

commute. A morphism $F : (\mathcal{A}, R) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}, G)$ of T_α -algebras is a dg functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ which renders commutative the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{T}_\alpha(F)} & \mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{B}) \\ R \downarrow & & \downarrow G \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{B}. \end{array}$$

We denote by $\mathsf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}$ the category of T_α -algebras. By theorem 1 of chapter VI from [13], we dispose of an adjunction

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg} \\ \uparrow F \quad \downarrow U \\ \text{dgcat}, \end{array}$$

where the functor U associates to a T_α -algebra A the dg category $\mathcal{A}$ and F associates to a dg category $\mathcal{B}$ the T_α -algebra $(\mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{B}), \mu_{\mathcal{B}})$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $A = (\mathcal{A}, R)$ be a T_α -algebra. Then the dg category $\mathcal{A}$ admits α -small sums.*

Proof. Let β be a cardinal strictly smaller than α and J a set of cardinality β . Let G be a morphism

$$G : J \longrightarrow \text{obj}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Choose a bijection between J and I_β and consider the object of $\mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$

$$F_\beta : I_\beta \longrightarrow \text{obj}(\mathcal{A}),$$

associated to G . We will prove that the object $R(F_\beta)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is the β -small sum of G . Consider the following object

$$\overline{F}_\beta := \mathsf{T}_\alpha(\eta_{\mathcal{A}})(F_\beta),$$

in $(\mathsf{T}_\alpha \circ \mathsf{T}_\alpha)(\mathcal{A})$. Remark that $F_\beta = \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\overline{F}_\beta)$ and so by lemma 4.1, F_β is the β -small sum of $\overline{F}_\beta$. Now, since $\mathcal{A}$ is a T_α -algebra the equality $R \circ \eta_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Id}$ implies that $R(F_\beta)$ is a weak β -small sum of G in $\mathcal{A}$. We now prove that this weak β -small sum is in fact a true one. Remark that for each $x \in I_\beta$, we dispose of a closed morphism of degree zero

$$i_x : F_\beta(x) \longrightarrow R(F_\beta),$$

in $\mathcal{A}$. Now let Z be an object of $\mathcal{A}$ and H_1 and H_2 two elements of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(R(F_\beta), Z)$ such that

$$H_1 \circ i_x = H_2 \circ i_x, \forall x \in I_\beta.$$

We will now prove that $H_1 = H_2$.

Consider the following commutative diagram in $\mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_\beta(x) & \xrightarrow{R(i_x)} & R(F_\beta) \\ & \searrow G_x & \downarrow H_1 \quad \downarrow H_2 \\ & & Z \end{array}$$

Apply the dg functor $\eta_{\mathcal{A}}$ to the previous diagram and consider the following one

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & F_\beta \\ & \nearrow i_x & \downarrow \theta \\ \eta_{\mathcal{A}}(F_\beta(x)) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{A}}(R(i_x))} & \eta_{\mathcal{A}}(R(F_\beta)) \\ & \searrow \eta_{\mathcal{A}}(G_x) & \downarrow \eta_{\mathcal{A}}(H_1) \quad \downarrow \eta_{\mathcal{A}}(H_2) \\ & & \eta_{\mathcal{A}}(Z), \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \cdots \quad \Phi \end{array}$$

where θ is the unique morphism in $\mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$i_x \circ \theta = \eta_{\mathcal{A}}(R(i_x)), \forall x \in I_\beta$$

and Φ is the unique morphism in $\mathsf{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$i_x \circ \Phi = \eta_{\mathcal{A}}(G_x), \forall x \in I_\beta.$$

This implies that

$$\eta_{\mathcal{A}}(H_1) \circ \theta = \eta_{\mathcal{A}}(H_2) \circ \theta.$$

We will show that $R(\theta) = Id$, which immediately implies the proposition. Recall that since A is a T_α -algebra, we dispose of the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (T_\alpha \circ T_\alpha)(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{T_\alpha(R)} & T_\alpha(\mathcal{A}) \\ \mu_{\mathcal{A}} \downarrow & & \downarrow R \\ T_\alpha(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{R} & \mathcal{A}. \end{array}$$

Remark that since $\overline{F_\beta}$ is the β -small sum of the objects $\eta_{T_\alpha(\mathcal{A})}(\eta_{\mathcal{A}}(F_\beta(x)))$, the morphisms i_x induce a morphism

$$\Psi : \overline{F_\beta} \longrightarrow \eta_{T_\alpha(\mathcal{A})}(F_\beta),$$

in $(T_\alpha \circ T_\alpha)(\mathcal{A})$. Finally remark that $T_\alpha(R)(\Psi) = \theta$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\Psi) = Id$. This implies that $R(\theta) = Id$ and so the proposition is proven. $\checkmark$

Remark 4.3. Remark that the proof of the proposition 4.2 shows us that if $F : A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of T_α -algebras, then the dg functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ preserves α -small sums.

5. QUILLEN'S LIFTING ARGUMENT

In this section, we consider an argument due to Quillen, see [16], that allows us to lift a Quillen model structure along an adjunction.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a complete and cocomplete category. Consider a functor $U : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, with $\mathcal{M}$ a Quillen model category. Assume that U admits a left adjoint

$$F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}.$$

Definition 5.1. A morphism $f : A \longrightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{N}$ is:

- a weak equivalence if $U(f)$ is a quasi-equivalence in $\mathcal{M}$.
- a fibration if $U(f)$ is a fibration in $\mathcal{M}$.
- a cofibration if it has the left lifting property with respect to all trivial fibrations in $\mathcal{N}$.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is a cofibrantly generated Quillen model category and that U commutes with α -filtered colimits for a regular cardinal α , see [5].

Then with the notions of weak equivalence, fibration and cofibration defined above, $\mathcal{N}$ is a Quillen model category provided the following assumption on cofibrations holds: every cofibration with the left lifting property with respect to fibrations is a weak equivalence.

Proof. We denote by I the set of generating cofibrations of $\mathcal{M}$ and by J the set of generating trivial cofibrations of $\mathcal{M}$. Remark that since $\mathcal{M}$ is cofibrantly generated, the domains of the elements of the sets I and J are β -small for a cardinal β , see [5]. Since U commutes with α -small filtered colimits, the images of these domains under the functor F will be γ -small, where γ is the maximum of α and β . This shows that the sets $F(I)$ and $F(J)$ of $\mathcal{N}$ allow the small object argument.

Now the proof follows the lines of the one of theorem 4.1 from [6]: We simply use the set I , respectively J , instead of the generating cofibrations of simplicial sets, respectively generating acyclic cofibrations of simplicial sets and consider γ -transfinite compositions, see [5], for the construction of the factorizations.

Remark also that the class of cofibrations that have the left lifting property with respect to fibrations is stable under γ -transfinite compositions. $\checkmark$

Now let $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be as at the beginning of this section and consider definition 5.1.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that*

- *for every object A in $\mathcal{N}$, the unique morphism $A \rightarrow *$, where $*$ denotes the terminal object in $\mathcal{N}$, is a fibration.*
- *for every object A in $\mathcal{N}$, we dispose of a factorization*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & A \times A \\ & \searrow \sim & \nearrow q_A \\ & P(A) & \end{array},$$

where i_A is a weak equivalence and q_A is a fibration.

Then every morphism in $\mathcal{N}$ that has the left lifting property with respect to all fibrations is a weak equivalence.

Proof. Let $i : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{N}$ that has the left lifting property with respect to all fibrations. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{=} & A \\ i \downarrow & \nearrow u & \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & * \end{array}$$

By the hypothesis on i we dispose of a morphism u such that $u \circ i = \text{Id}$. We now show that the morphism $i \circ u$ is right homotopic to the identity of B . By hypothesis, we dispose of a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & B \times B \\ & \searrow \sim & \nearrow q_B \\ & P(B) & \end{array}$$

which allows us to construct the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{i_B \circ i} & P(B) \\ i \downarrow & \nearrow H & \downarrow q_B \\ B & \xrightarrow{[Id, i \circ u]} & B \times B \end{array}$$

By the hypothesis on i , we dispose of a morphism H , which by definition is a right homotopy between the identity of B and $i \circ u$. Since the functor $U : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ preserves products, fibrations and weak equivalences the identity on $U(B)$ and $U(i) \circ U(u)$ are right homotopic in $\mathcal{M}$ and so they become equal in the homotopy category $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{M})$. Since we already now that $U(u) \circ U(i)$ is the identity on $U(B)$, we conclude that the morphism $U(i)$ is an isomorphism in $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{M})$. By proposition 1.14 from [6], $U(i)$ is in fact a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{M}$ which implies by definition that i is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{N}$. This proves the lemma. $\checkmark$

6. HOMOTOPY THEORY OF $\mathbb{T}$ -ALGEBRAS

Recall from section 4 that we dispose of an adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{T}_\alpha\text{-alg} & \\ F \uparrow & & \downarrow U \\ & \mathbf{dgc}at & \end{array}$$

Since the category $\mathbf{dgc}at$ is complete, proposition 4.3.1 from [2] implies that $\mathbb{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}$ is also complete. Now remark that the functor

$$\mathbb{T}_\alpha(-) : \mathbf{dgc}at \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgc}at,$$

see definition 4.1, commutes with α -filtered colimits, see [2]. This implies by proposition 4.3.2 and 4.3.6 from [2] that the category $\mathbb{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}$ is cocomplete and that the functor U commutes with α -filtered colimits.

From now on and until the end of this section we consider the definition 5.1 applied to our particular adjunction (F, U) .

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a small dg category.

Definition 6.1. *Let $P(\mathcal{B})$ be the dg category, see [4], whose objects are the closed morphisms of degree zero in $\mathcal{B}$*

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y,$$

that become invertible in $H^0(\mathcal{B})$. We define the complex of morphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{P(\mathcal{B})}(X \xrightarrow{f} Y, X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y')$$

as the homotopy pull-back in $\mathbf{Ch}(k)$ of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(Y, Y') & \\ & \downarrow f^* & \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, X') & \xrightarrow{f'_*} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(X, Y'). \end{array}$$

It is proven in [18] that $P(\mathcal{B})$ is a path object for $\mathcal{B}$ in the Quillen model structure on $\mathbf{dgc}at$, see [17]. Remark that the above construction is functorial in $\mathcal{B}$ and so we dispose of a functor

$$P(-) : \mathbf{dgc}at \rightarrow \mathbf{dgc}at.$$

Let $B = (\mathcal{B}, S)$ be a $\mathbb{T}_\alpha$ -algebra.

Proposition 6.1. *The category $P(\mathcal{B})$ carries a natural $\mathbb{T}_\alpha$ -algebra structure and so B admits a path-object $P(B)$.*

Proof. We dispose of a dg functor

$$S : \mathbb{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

and we will construct a dg functor $\overline{S}$ from $\mathbb{T}_\alpha(P(\mathcal{B})) \longrightarrow P(\mathcal{B})$. Remark that we dispose of a faithful dg functor

$$\mathbb{T}_\alpha(P(\mathcal{B})) \longrightarrow P(\mathbb{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{B})).$$

Define $\overline{S}$ as the composition of this dg functor with

$$P(\mathbb{T}_\alpha(\mathcal{B})) \longrightarrow P(\mathcal{B}).$$

Since B is a T_α -algebra, this construction shows us that $(P(\mathcal{B}), \overline{S})$ is also a T_α -algebra. It is also clear by construction that the dg functors $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal{A}}} P(\mathcal{A})$ and

$$P(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{q_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$$

are in fact morphismes of T_α -algebras, where $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ carries the diagonal T_α -action. This proves the proposition. $\checkmark$

Theorem 6.1. *The category $T_\alpha\text{-alg}$ when endowed with the notions of weak equivalence, fibration and cofibration as in definition 5.1, becomes a cofibrantly generated Quillen model category and the adjunction (F, U) becomes a Quillen adjunction.*

Proof. Recall from [17] that we dispose of an explicit set $I = \{Q, S(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of generating cofibrations and an explicit set $J = \{F, R(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of generating trivial cofibrations for $\mathbf{dgc}at$.

Now remark that all conditions of theorem 5.1 are satisfied. In particular proposition 6.1 and the fact that every object in $\mathbf{dgc}at$ is fibrant, see [17], imply proposition 5.1 which implies that the assumption on cofibrations of theorem 5.1 holds.

Remark that $F(I)$ is a set of generating cofibrations on $T_\alpha\text{-alg}$ and that $F(J)$ is a set of generating acyclic cofibrations on $T_\alpha\text{-alg}$. This implies that the Quillen model structure on $T_\alpha\text{-alg}$ is cofibrantly generated. Since the functor U preserves by definition weak equivalences and fibrations the adjunction (F, U) is a Quillen adjunction. This proves the theorem. $\checkmark$

7. EXACT α -COCOMplete DG CATEGORIES

In this section, we will construct a category $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$ by considering specific diagrams in $T_\alpha\text{-alg}$. The objects of $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$ will be essentially the dg categories which are stable under suspensions, cosuspensions, cones and α -small sums, see remark 7.2.

Definition 7.1. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the dg category with only one object X and whose dg algebra of endomorphisms is k concentrated in degree 0. Let $\mathcal{S}$, respectively $\mathcal{S}^{-1}$, be the full sub dg category of $\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{P})$, whose objects are $\widehat{X}$ and $\widehat{X}[1]$, respectively $\widehat{X}$ and $\widehat{X}[-1]$. We dispose of a fully faithful dg functor $\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{S}$, respectively $\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{S} \mathcal{S}^{-1}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the dg category which has two objects 0 and 1 and is generated by a morphism f from 0 to 1 that satisfies $d(f) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the full sub dg category of $\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{M})$, whose objects are $\widehat{0}$, $\widehat{1}$ and $\text{cone}(\widehat{f})$. We dispose of a fully faithful dg functor $\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{C} \mathcal{C}$.*

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a small dg category.

Remark 7.1. Remark that giving a dg functor $H : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, respectively $H' : \mathcal{S}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, corresponds exactly to specifying two objects X and Y in $\mathcal{A}$ and an isomorphism $\widehat{X}[1] \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{Y}$, respectively $\widehat{X}[-1] \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{Y}$, in $\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{A})$. Remark also that giving a dg functor $R : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ corresponds exactly to specifying a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of degree zero in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $d(f) = 0$, an object Z in $\mathcal{A}$ and an isomorphism $Z \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{cone}(\widehat{f})$.

Recall from section 4 that we dispose of an adjunction

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg} \\ \uparrow F \quad \downarrow U \\ \mathsf{dgc}at. \end{array}$$

Definition 7.2. Let $\mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$ be the category whose objects are the 4-tuples $\underline{A} = (A, S_A, S_A^{-1}, C_A)$, where A is a T_α -algebra and S_A , S_A^{-1} and C_A , the structure morphisms of A , are T_α -algebra morphisms which make both diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow A} F(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & \nearrow S_A & \\ \coprod_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow A} F(S) & & \\ \coprod_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow A} F(\mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & \nearrow S_A^{-1} & \\ \coprod_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow A} F(S^{-1}) & & \\ \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow A} F(\mathcal{M}) & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & \nearrow C_A & \\ \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow A} F(\mathcal{C}) & & \end{array}$$

commutative.

A morphism $G : \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$ in $\mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$ consists of a morphism of T_α -algebras $G : A \rightarrow B$ that makes the following diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow A} F(S) & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow B} F(S) \\ S_A \downarrow & & \downarrow S_B \\ A & \xrightarrow{G} & B \\ \coprod_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow A} F(S^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow B} F(S^{-1}) \\ S_A^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow S_B^{-1} \\ A & \xrightarrow{G} & B \\ \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow A} F(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow B} F(\mathcal{C}) \\ C_A \downarrow & & \downarrow C_B \\ A & \xrightarrow{G} & B \end{array}$$

commutative.

Remark 7.2. Remark that an object $\underline{A}$ in $\mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$ consists of a T_α -algebra A and of a choice, in the sense of remark 7.1, for the suspensions and cosuspensions of every object of the dg category $\mathcal{A} = U(A)$ and also of a choice for the cone of every cycle of degree zero of the dg category $\mathcal{A}$. In particular $\mathcal{A}$ is stable under suspensions, cosuspensions and cones.

Remark also that a morphism G in $\mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$ consists of a morphism of T_α -algebras that commutes with all these choices.

We dispose of a forgetful functor

$$U_1 : \mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha} \longrightarrow \mathsf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg},$$

that associates to an object $\underline{A}$ of $\mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$ the T_α -algebra A .

Proposition 7.1. *The functor U_1 admits a left adjoint.*

Proof. The proof will consist in verifying the conditions of theorem 2 from section V.6. of [13].

We will now prove that the category $\mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$ admits small limits and that these are preserved by the functor U_1 . Let $\{\underline{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of objects in $\mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$. Endow the T_α -algebra $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ with the structure morphisms induced by those of $\underline{A}_i$, $i \in I$. In this way, the T_α -algebra $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ belongs naturally to $\mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$ and we remark that it is the product in $\mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$ of the family $\{\underline{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Consider now morphisms $G_1, G_2 : \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$ in $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$. Let K be the equalizer in $\mathbf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}$ of the pair $G_1, G_2 : A \rightarrow B$. By remark 7.2, we need to show that the dg category $U(K)$ is endowed with a choice for the suspension and cosuspension for each object and with a choice for the cone of every cycle of degree zero. Since U is a right adjoint functor, $U(K)$ identifies with the equalizer of the pair

$$U(G_1), U(G_2) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

and since G_1 and G_2 are morphisms in $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$, the dg functors $U(G_1)$ and $U(G_2)$ commute with all the choices. This implies that the non-full dg subcategory $U(K)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is stable under all the choices of suspension, cosuspension and cones in $\mathcal{A}$. This shows us that K belongs naturally to $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$ and that it is the equalizer in $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$ of the pair $G_1, G_2 : \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$. This proves that the category $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$ admits small limits and that they are preserved by the functor U .

We will now prove that the solution set condition is verified, see [13].

Let A be a $\mathbf{T}_\alpha$ -algebra. Consider the following set of morphisms in $\mathbf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}$

$$\text{Ens} := \left\{ F(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{F(S)} F(\mathcal{S}), F(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{F(S^{-1})} F(\mathcal{S}^{-1}), F(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{F(C)} F(\mathcal{C}) \right\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are clearly small in $\mathbf{dgc}at$, see [17], and since the functor U commutes with α -filtered colimits, the objects $F(\mathcal{P})$ and $F(\mathcal{M})$ are α -small in $\mathbf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}$, see [5].

Apply the small object argument, see [5], to the morphism

$$A \longrightarrow 0,$$

where 0 denotes the terminal object in $\mathbf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}$, using the set of morphisms Ens . We dispose of a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\ & \searrow i & \nearrow q \\ & \text{Ex}_\alpha(A) & \end{array},$$

where q is a morphism of $\mathbf{T}_\alpha$ -algebras that has the right lifting property with respect to all elements of Ens .

Now, for each one of the following (solid) commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \text{Ex}_\alpha(A) & F(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \text{Ex}_\alpha(A) & F(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \text{Ex}_\alpha(A) \\ F(S) \downarrow & F(S^{-1}) \downarrow & F(C) \downarrow \\ F(\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow 0 & F(\mathcal{S}^{-1}) \longrightarrow 0 & F(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

choose a morphism of $\mathbf{T}_\alpha$ -algebras, (here denoted by a dashed arrow), as in the proof of proposition 10.5.16 from [5], that makes both triangles commutative. Remark that a set of morphisms as this one specifies structure morphisms for the $\mathbf{T}_\alpha$ -algebra $\text{Ex}_\alpha(A)$. This shows us that when endowed with these choices $\text{Ex}_\alpha(A)$ belongs to $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$.

Let now $\underline{B}$ be an object of $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$ and $Q : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of $\mathbf{T}_\alpha$ -algebras. Remark that the structure morphisms of B and the construction of the $\mathbf{T}_\alpha$ -algebra $\text{Ex}_\alpha(A)$ by the small object argument, see the proof of proposition 10.5.16 in [5], allows us to define, by transfinite induction, a morphism $\overline{Q}$ of

T_α -algebras such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathsf{Ex}_\alpha(A) \\ & \searrow Q & \downarrow \overline{Q} \\ & & B \end{array}$$

commutes. Remark also that when the T_α -algebra $\mathsf{Ex}_\alpha(A)$ is endowed with the above choices the morphism $\overline{Q}$ becomes a morphism in $\mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$. This proves the solution set condition.

The proposition is now proven. $\checkmark$

We dispose of the following adjunctions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha} & & \\ \uparrow F_1 & & \downarrow U_1 \\ \mathsf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg} & & \\ \uparrow F & & \downarrow U \\ \mathsf{dgc}at & & \end{array}$$

Proposition 7.2. *The functor U_1 is monadic, see [13].*

Proof. The proof will consist in verifying condition (iii) of theorem 1 from section VI.7. of [13].

Let $G_1, G_2 : \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$ be a pair of morphisms in $\mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$. Consider the following split coequalizer, see [13], in $\mathsf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{G_1} & B & \xrightarrow{L} & D, \\ & \searrow G_2 & \swarrow & \searrow & \\ & & R & & S \end{array}$$

where $L \circ G_1 = L \circ G_2$, $L \circ S = Id$, $G_1 \circ R = Id$, and $G_2 \circ R = S \circ L$.

We will now construct structure morphisms for D such that D will become an object of $\mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$ and L a morphism in $\mathsf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$. Apply the functor U to the previous split coequalizer in $\mathsf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}$ and obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{G_1} & B & \xrightarrow{L} & D. \\ & \searrow G_2 & \swarrow & \searrow & \\ & & R & & S \end{array}$$

Now, apply the functors

$$\coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow ?} \mathcal{M}, \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow ?} \mathcal{C} : \mathsf{dgc}at \rightarrow \mathsf{dgc}at$$

to the previous split equalizer in $\mathbf{dgc}at$ and obtain the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow A} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow B} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow C} \mathcal{C} \\
 \uparrow C_A & & \uparrow C_B & & \uparrow C_D \\
 \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow A} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow B} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow C} \mathcal{M} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 A & \xrightarrow{G_1} B & \xrightarrow{L} D & & \\
 \downarrow R & \searrow G_2 & \searrow S & & \\
 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Remark that since U is a split coequalizer, the rows in the diagram are coequalizers. This implies that the dg functors C_A and C_B , which correspond under the adjunction (F, U) to the structure morphisms C_A and C_B , induce a dg functor C_D . Now, since L admits a right inverse S , a diagram chasing argument shows us that the right triangle in the diagram is commutative.

Remark that under the adjunction (F, U) this commutative diagram corresponds exactly to a structure morphism C_D on D . Clearly by construction the morphism U preserves this structure morphism. Now, consider an analogous argument for the construction of structure morphisms S_D and S_D^{-1} .

We will now prove that L is a coequalizer in the category $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$. Let $\underline{E}$ be an object of $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$ and $H : \underline{B} \rightarrow \underline{E}$ a morphism such that $H \circ G_1 = H \circ G_2$. Since the morphism U is a coequalizer in $\mathbf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}$, there exists a unique morphism of $\mathbf{T}_\alpha$ -algebras R which makes the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{G_1} B & \xrightarrow{U} D & & \\
 & \searrow G_2 & \searrow H & \searrow R & \\
 & & & & E
 \end{array}$$

commutative. We now prove that R is a morphism in $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$. Since $U \circ S = Id$ we have $R = H \circ S$. Now by apply the functors

$$\coprod_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow ?} \mathcal{S}, \coprod_{\mathcal{P} \rightarrow ?} \mathcal{S}^{-1}, \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow ?} \mathcal{C} : \mathbf{dgc}at \longrightarrow \mathbf{dgc}at$$

to the image by the functor U of the diagram above and use a diagram chasing argument to conclude that U belongs in fact to $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$. This proves the proposition. $\checkmark$

Remark that since U_1 is monadic and the category $\mathbf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}$ is complete, proposition 4.3.1 from [2] implies that $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$ is also complete.

Proposition 7.3. *The category $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$ admits α -filtered colimits and these are preserved by the functor U_1 .*

Proof. Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a α -filtered diagram in $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex, \alpha}$. Consider the colimit

$$Y := \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} A_i,$$

of the α -filtered diagram $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $\mathbf{T}_\alpha$ -algebras.

We will now construct structure morphisms for Y such that Y becomes the colimit in $\mathbf{dgact}_{ex,\alpha}$ of the diagram $\{\underline{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$. Since the functor U commutes with α -filtered colimits, see section 6, we have

$$U(Y) = \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i.$$

We now construct a structure morphism C_Y , see definition 7.2, for Y . We dispose of the following α -filtered diagrams in $\mathbf{dgc}at$ and morphisms between them

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left\{ \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{M} \right\}_{i \in I} & \longrightarrow & \{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i \in I} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow C_{\mathcal{A}_i} & \\ \left\{ \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{C} \right\}_{i \in I} & & \end{array}$$

Now remark that since we are considering α -filtered colimits, we have

$$\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{M}$$

and

$$\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \coprod_{\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i} \mathcal{C}.$$

This implies that the morphism of $\mathbb{T}_\alpha$ -algebras which corresponds under the adjunction (F, U) to the dg functor $\operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} C_{\mathcal{A}_i}$ is a structure morphism C_Y of Y . Consider now an analogous argument for the construction of structure morphisms S_Y and S_Y^{-1} .

Finally since the functor U commutes with α -filtered colimits, Y is clearly the colimit in $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$ of the diagram $\{\underline{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$. This proves the proposition. $\checkmark$

Proposition 7.4. *The category $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$ is cocomplete.*

Proof. Recall that by proposition 7.2 the adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha} & \\ F_1 \uparrow & & \downarrow U_1 \\ & \mathbb{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}, & \end{array}$$

is monadic. Now by propositions 4.3.2 and 4.3.6 of [2] we only need to show that the functor $U_1 \circ F_1$ commutes with α -filtered colimits. But this follows from the fact that F is a left adjoint and that by proposition 7.3 α -filtered colimits exist in $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$ and are preserved by U_1 . This proves the proposition. $\checkmark$

From now on and until the end of this section we consider definition 5.1 applied to our particular adjunction (F_1, U_1) , see theorem 6.1.

Let $\underline{A}$ be an object of $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$.

Proposition 7.5. *The $\mathbb{T}_\alpha$ -algebra $P(A)$, see proposition 6.1, is endowed with natural structure morphisms and so $\underline{A}$ admits a path object in $\mathbf{dgc}at_{ex,\alpha}$.*

Proof. We will construct structure morphisms $S_{P(A)}$, $S_{P(A)}^{-1}$ and $C_{P(A)}$ for $P(A)$, see definition 7.2, in such a way that $P(A)$ becomes a path object in $\mathbf{dgc}\mathbf{at}_{ex,\alpha}$. Construct the structure morphism $S_{P(A)}$, respectively $S_{P(A)}^{-1}$, by applying S_A , respectively S_A^{-1} , in each component of the objects of $P(A)$.

By remark 7.2, to construct a structure morphism $C_{P(A)}$, we need to construct a cone in the category $P(\mathcal{A})$ for every cycle of degree zero in $P(\mathcal{A})$. Let now (m_X, m_Y, h) be a cycle of degree 0 in $P(\mathcal{A})$ between the objects $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ and $X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y'$ of $P(\mathcal{A})$. In particular h is a morphism in $\mathcal{A}$ of degree -1 such that $d(h) = m_Y \circ f - f' \circ m_X$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 m_X \downarrow & \searrow h & \downarrow m_Y \\
 X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \\
 i_X \downarrow & \searrow h' & \downarrow i_Y \\
 \text{cone}(m_X) & \xrightarrow[\Phi]{} & \text{cone}(m_Y),
 \end{array}$$

where $h' = 0$ and Φ is the morphism defined by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} f' & h \\ 0 & S(f) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Remark that the object in $P(\mathcal{A})$

$$\text{cone}(m_X) \xrightarrow[\Phi]{} \text{cone}(m_Y),$$

corepresents the cone of the morphism (m_X, m_Y, h) in $P(\mathcal{A})$. This shows us that $P(A)$ belongs to $\mathbf{dgc}\mathbf{at}_{ex,\alpha}$. It is also clear that the morphisms $A \xrightarrow{i_A} P(A)$ and

$$P(A) \xrightarrow{q_A} A \times A$$

are in fact morphisms in $\mathbf{dgc}\mathbf{at}_{ex,\alpha}$. This proves the proposition. $\checkmark$

Theorem 7.1. *The category $\mathbf{dgc}\mathbf{at}_{ex,\alpha}$ when endowed with the notions of weak equivalence, fibration and cofibration as in definition 5.1, becomes a cofibrantly generated Quillen model category and the adjunction (F_1, U_1) becomes a Quillen adjunction.*

Proof. Recall from theorem 6.1 that we dispose of an explicit set $F(I)$ of generating cofibrations and an explicit set $F(J)$ of generating trivial cofibrations for $\mathbf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}$.

Now remark that all conditions of theorem 5.1 are satisfied. In particular proposition 7.5 and the fact that every object in $\mathbf{T}_\alpha\text{-alg}$ is fibrant imply proposition 5.1 which implies that the assumption on cofibrations of theorem 5.1 holds.

Remark that $F_1(F(I))$ is a set of generating cofibrations on $\mathbf{dgc}\mathbf{at}_{ex,\alpha}$ and that $F_1(F(J))$ is a set of generating acyclic cofibrations on $\mathbf{dgc}\mathbf{at}_{ex,\alpha}$. This implies that the Quillen model structure on $\mathbf{dgc}\mathbf{at}_{ex,\alpha}$ is cofibrantly generated. Since the functor U_1 preserves by definition weak equivalences and fibrations the adjunction (F_1, U_1) is a Quillen adjunction. This proves the theorem. $\checkmark$

8. ENHANCEMENT OF WELL-GENERATED ALGEBRAIC TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES

In this section, we show that the category of α -compactly generated algebraic triangulated categories up to equivalence admits a natural Quillen enhancement given by our model category $\mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha}$.

Let $\mathbf{Tri}_\alpha$ denote the category of α -compactly generated algebraic triangulated categories in the sense of Neeman, see [12] [14].

Let $\underline{A}$ be an object of $\mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha}$, with underlying dg category $\mathcal{A}$. Recall from proposition 4.2 that $\mathcal{A}$ admits α -small sums.

Definition 8.1 ([15]). *The α -continuous derived category $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\underline{A})$ of $\underline{A}$ is the triangle quotient of the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ by the localizing triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ generated by the cones on the canonical morphisms*

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \widehat{X_i} \longrightarrow \widehat{\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i},$$

where $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of objects of $\mathcal{A}$ and I is a set of cardinality strictly smaller than α .

Remark 8.1. By a theorem of [15], $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\underline{A})$ belongs to $\mathbf{Tri}_\alpha$.

Remark that this construction is functorial in A . In fact, let $F : \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha}$. Since the dg functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ commutes with α -small sums, see remark 4.3, it induces a functor

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(F) : \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\underline{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\alpha(\underline{B})$$

between triangulated categories.

Thus, we have defined a functor

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(-) : \mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Tri}_\alpha.$$

Remark that if $F : \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{B}$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha}$, i.e. the dg functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ induces an equivalence of categories $H^0 : H^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{B})$, then the triangulated functor $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(F)$ is an equivalence of triangulated categories.

The following theorem is proven in [15], cf. [9].

Theorem 8.1 ([15]). *The functor $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(-)$ satisfies the conditions:*

- every category $\mathcal{T}$ in $\mathbf{Tri}_\alpha$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(\underline{A})$ for some object $\underline{A}$ in $\mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha}$ and
- a morphism F in $\mathbf{dgcat}_{ex,\alpha}$ is a weak equivalence if and only if $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(F)$ is an equivalence of triangulated categories.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Carboni and P. Johnstone *Connected limits, familial representability and Artin gluein*, Fifth Biennial Meeting on Category Theory and Computer Science (Amsterdam, 1993), Math. Structures Comput. Sci., volume 5, 1995, **4**, 441–459.
- [2] F. Borceux, *Handbook of categorical algebra. 2*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **51**, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [3] K. Ciesielski, *Set theory for the working mathematician*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, **39**, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [4] V. Drinfeld, *DG quotients of DG categories*, Journal of Algebra, **272**, 2004, 643–691.
- [5] P. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **99**, American Mathematical Society, 2003.

- [6] P. Goerss and J. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Progress in Mathematics, **174**, 1999.
- [7] B. Keller, *On differential graded categories*, preprint math. KT/0601185.
- [8] B. Keller, *On differential graded categories*, I.C.M. talk 2006, Madrid.
- [9] B. Keller (joint with M. Porta), *Well generated triangulated categories*, Oberwolfach report, **8**, 2006.
- [10] G. Kelly and S. Lack, *On property-like structures*, Theory and Applications of Categories, **3**, 1997, 213–250.
- [11] M. Kontsevich, *Triangulated categories and geometry*, Course at E.N.S. Paris, 1998.
- [12] H. Krause, *On Neeman's well generated triangulated categories*, Documenta Mathematica, **6**, 2001, 121–126.
- [13] S. MacLane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **5**, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [14] A. Neeman, *Triangulated categories*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **148**, Princeton University Press, 2001.
- [15] M. Porta, *Ph.D. thesis in preparation*.
- [16] D. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **43**, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [17] G. Tabuada, *Une structure de catégorie de modèles de Quillen sur la catégorie des dg-catégories*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **340**, 2005, 15–19.
- [18] G. Tabuada, *A new Quillen model for the Morita homotopy theory of DG categories*, preprint math.KT/0701205.
- [19] B. Toën, *The homotopy theory of dg-categories and derived Morita theory*, preprint math.AG/0408337, to appear in Inventiones Mathematicae.

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 7 - DENIS DIDEROT, UMR 7586 DU CNRS, CASE 7012, 2 PLACE JUSSIEU,
75251 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE.

E-mail address: `tabuada@math.jussieu.fr`